

Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 STATE 005366

61

ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-02 ACDA-10

NSAE-00 PA-02 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-15 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 DODE-00 SS-15 NSC-05 OMB-01 IO-10 /088 R

DRAFTED BY JS/J-3:MR BILLINGS:DH

APPROVED BY EUR/RPM:EREHFELD

OSD/ISA - MR MILLER

----- 112609

R 092127Z JAN 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION NATO

UNCLAS STATE 005366

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: MARR, NATO

SUBJECT: TENTH MEETING OF THE NATO COMMAND, CONTROL,
-- AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND AUTOMATIC DATA
-- PROCESSING COMMITTEE (NCC DPC)

REF: AC/284-A/10 AND USNATO 0018

US POSITIONS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

ITEM I. TO BE NOTED.

ITEM II. YOU SHOULD ADOPT PROPOSED AGENDA.

ITEM III. YOU SHOULD APPROVE THE DECISION SHEET OF THE
NINTH MEETING OF THE NCCDPC (AC/284-DS/9, 1 AUG 74).

ITEMS IV A, B. YOU MAY NOTE.

ITEM IV C. YOU SHOULD ENDORSE PROPOSED TASKING OF MCCISWG,
SUBGROUP 2 AS RECOMMENDED IN MCCISWG-DS(74)3.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 005366

ITEM V. YOU MAY NOTE THE UK STATEMENT ON THE OPCON PILOT

PROJECT. DISCUSSION OTHER THAN ON TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES SHOULD BE DEFERRED UNTIL ITEM VII.

ITEM VI. A. (1,2). YOU MAY NOTE THE DISCUSSION ON NATO HEADQUARTERS ACTIVITIES AND VACANT POSTS ON SITCEN ADP STAFF (AC/284-DS/9, AUG 1, 1974). YOU SHOULD POINT OUT THAT CONCURRENCE WAS GRANTED BASED UPON TECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS AND THEREFORE SUBJECT TO BUDGETARY REVIEW AS WELL AS PERSONNEL OFFSETS IN OTHER AREAS. YOU SHOULD EXPRESS CONCERN, HOWEVER, THAT IF THESE POSTS ARE NOT FILLED BY MEMBER NATIONS, THEY WILL PROBABLY BE SUBJECT TO POSSIBLE DELETION BY THE MANPOWER COMMITTEE.

B.(1). YOU SHOULD NOTE PROPOSALS FOR PROGRESSION (1975-77) AFTER CONSIDERATIONS OF COST AND MANPOWER. YOU SHOULD VIEW WITH CONCERN ATTEMPTS TO DEVELOP SPECIFIC FUNCTIONAL SYSTEMS SUCH AS THE PROPOSAL FOR THE FINANCIAL CONTROLLER WITHOUT BENEFIT OF A COMPLETE SYSTEMS PLAN FOR NATO HEADQUARTERS.

B.(2). YOU SHOULD NOT ENDORSE THE MCCISWG RECOMMENDATION (MCCISWG-DS(74)3) THAT THE NCCDPC SHOULD DEFER CONSIDERATION OF SIX ADDITIONAL CIVILIAN POSTS FOR 1976 ENDORSEMENTS. FROM A TECHNICAL VIEWPOINT, THE SIX POSTS SHOULD BE ENDORSED SUBJECT TO MANPOWER AND BUDGETARY REVIEW. EMPHASIZE THE NEED FOR LONG TERM PLANNING, AND PROMOTE DISCUSSION IN THIS AREA. SPECIFICALLY, STATE THE DIFFICULTY IN ATTEMPTING TO ACCOMPLISH ANY FUTURE PLANNING WITHOUT THE 1975 AUGMENTATION.

ITEM VII. A. YOU SHOULD NOTE THE CINCHAN CCIS/ADP STATUS REPORT.

B. YOU MAY NOTE THE STATUS REPORT ON THE MESSAGE HANDLING STUDY; FEASIBILITY STUDY OF THE COMIBERLANT CCIS AND THE SACLANT CCIS PLAN. DISCUSSIONS CONCERNING THE SACLANTCEN REPLACEMENT COMPUTER AND PROPOSALS FOR PROGRESSION (1975-77) SHOULD TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION THE POSSIBILITY OF DUAL USE OF NATIONAL COMPUTER SYSTEMS. ANY DECISION REGARDING PROCUREMENT OF NEW OR REPLACEMENT HARDWARE SHOULD UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 005366

BE DEFERRED UNTIL DISCUSSION OF ITEM XII.

C.(1). YOU SHOULD NOTE THE STATUS REPORT ON THE ACE CCIS CONCEPT. PROPOSALS FOR PROGRESSION CONCERNING THE UPGRADING OF THE SHAPE, AFNORTH, AND AFSOUTH COMPUTER SYSTEM SHOULD BE DISCUSSED IN DETAIL. INSURE THAT THE PROPOSALS TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE SHAPE ADP CONCEPT. FURTHER, THE SUBJECT OF DUAL USE OF NATIONAL SYSTEMS IMPACTS ON THIS ITEM

AND SHOULD BE TREATED WITH THIS IN MIND.

C.(2) (A). SHAPE REP WILL BRIEF THE COMMITTEE ON OVERALL PROPOSALS FOR THE REORGANIZATION, ADP SUPPORT REQUIREMENT, AND THEIR IMPACT ON THE APPROVED ACE CCIS CONCEPT. NOTE SHAPE REP REPORT AND ENTER DISCUSSIONS EXAMINING THE REQUIREMENTS AND THEIR IMPACT ON ACE AIP SUPPORT CONCEPT; HOWEVER, DISCUSSIONS SHOULD NOT DELAY IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FIRST PHASE AAFCE REORGANIZATION PLAN WHEN IT RECEIVES DPC APPROVAL. ALSO, THIS SUBJECT AND THE US WORKING PAPER ON DUAL USE OF NATIONAL SYSTEMS SHOULD BE TREATED AS COMPLIMENTARY.

C.(2) (B). YOU MAY INDORSE THE REVISED REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL FOR THE REPLACEMENT SYSTEM AT THE STC FACILITY, SINCE THE DOCUMENT HAS HAD CONSIDERABLE US TECHNICAL REVIEW AND ANALYSIS AND IS CONSIDERED TECHNICALLY ACCEPTABLE.

C.(3). YOU MAY NOTE THE BRIEFING ON THE NATO STATIC WAR HEADQUARTERS.

ITEM VIII. YOU MAY NOTE THE CHAIRMAN'S REPORT ON DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY. YOU MAY ALSO NOTE ANY CONCERN BY SHAPE REGARDING FUNDING LIMITATIONS DELAYING THE PROVISIONING OF ADP EQUIPMENT. AC/284-D/5 SHOULD CONTINUE TO BE SUPPORTED.

ITEM IX. YOU MAY NOTE THE STATUS REPORT ON THE DIG SYSTEM. YOU MAY SUPPORT OR PROPOSE A RECOMMENDATION THAT THE NCCDPC SUPPORT THE STUDY OF THE PROPOSED NATO SUBJECT INDICATOR SYSTEM (NASIS). YOU SHOULD ALSO INFORM THE COMMITTEE THAT THE US NAVY IS STUDYING THIS SYSTEM
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 STATE 005366

FROM A NATIONAL VIEWPOINT.

ITEM X. YOU MAY NOTE.

ITEM XI. YOU SHOULD REQUEST DEFERRAL OF THE GENERAL PLAN FOR IMPROVED INFORMATION MANAGEMENT IN SUPPORT OF NATO COMMAND, CONTROL AND CONSULTATION (AC/284-WP/49, OCTOBER 15, 1974), SINCE THE PROPOSED DOCUMENT IS BEYOND THE BOUNDS ESTABLISHED BY THE COMMITTEE AT THE JANUARY 1974 MEETING. THE COMMITTEE HAD REQUESTED CCIS DIVISION TO CONSOLIDATE THE NATO CCIS CONCEPT (C-M(74)3, FEB 5, 1974), AND POLICY (C-M(71)50, JULY 26, 1971) IN A SINGLE COMPREHENSIVE DOCUMENT. THE PROPOSED DOCUMENT ADVANCES A PLAN

FOR NATO INFORMATION MANAGEMENT AND IS NOT SUPPORTED BY

GUIDANCE FROM HIGHER NATO AUTHORITY. THEREFORE, INSTEAD OF REJECTION, DEFERRAL OF APPROVAL SHOULD BE TAKEN TO GIVE THE STAFF MORE TIME TO ADHERE TO THE ORIGINAL DIRECTION GIVEN.

ITEM XII. YOU SHOULD STATE THE US POSITION REGARDING DUAL USE OF US NATIONAL COMPUTER SYSTEMS ESTABLISHED BY DEPSECDEF MEMORANDUM, OCTOBER 10, 1974 AND ELABORATE WHERE NECESSARY UPON AC 284-WP/51, DECEMBER 9, 1974, CONCERNING DUAL ROLE CAPABILITIES. YOU SHOULD ALSO STRESS THE NEED FOR INTEROPERABILITY OF NATO AND NATIONAL CCIS AS PREREQUISITE TO AND CONSISTENT WITH THE NEED FOR IMPROVED COMMUNICATION AND CONSULTATION AMONG NATO ALLIES. WHILE NOT ATTEMPTING TO SELL THE WWMCCS AND KEEPING IN MIND THE OPTIONS INVOLVED, YOU SHOULD POINT OUT ADVANTAGES IN SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, TRAINING CAPABILITIES, LOGISTICS SUPPORT, ESTABLISHED SYSTEMS MANAGEMENT AND OVERALL COST SAVINGS INVOLVED COMPARED TO AN INDEPENDENT IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SHAPE CONCEPT. CONSISTENT WITH THIS DISCUSSION, YOU SHOULD BE PREPARED TO DISCUSS SUCH ASPECTS AS SECURITY, PERSONNEL, AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS REQUIREMENTS.

ITEMS XIII AND XIV. YOU MAY NOTE.

ITEM XV. UNDER ANY OTHER BUSINESS YOU SHOULD DISCUSS
UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 05 STATE 005366

ADOPTION OF GUIDELINES FOR STANDARD MESSAGE FORMATS FOR NATO COMMAND AND CONTROL (ADATP-3). US AUTHORITIES WILL NOT CONCUR IN THE PROPOSED ADATP-3 UNTIL SHAPE ESTABLISHES A STATEMENT OF INTENTION TO USE THESE PROCEDURES WITHIN ACE TO INCLUDE THE IMPACT AND CHANGES REQUIRED TO THE ACEREP SYSTEM. THE SACEUR REPRESENTATIVE SHOULD BE INVITED TO COMMENT. KISSINGER

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: MEETING AGENDA, COLLECTIVE SECURITY, AIR FORCE
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 09 JAN 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: n/a
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: n/a
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment:
Disposition Date: 01 JAN 1960
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE005366
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: 3:MR BILLINGS:DH
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750010-0002
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750165/aaaacerq.tel
Line Count: 208
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN EUR
Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 75 AC/284-A/10, 75 AND USNATO 0018
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: buchant0
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 26 MAR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <26 MAR 2003 by RicharJA>; APPROVED <06 JAN 2004 by buchant0>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: TENTH MEETING OF THE NATO COMMAND, CONTROL, -- AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND AUTOMATIC DATA
TAGS: MARR, NATO
To: NATO BRUSSELS
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006